

Erratum

LA01/2018/1415/O

Paragraph 10 Refusal Reason 3 states;

The proposal is contrary to paragraph 6.73 of the Strategic Planning Policy Statement and Policy CTY 2a, of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside, in that the proposal does not have a suitable degree of enclosure and as a result it can not be absorbed into the existing cluster through rounding off and consolidation and it will visually intrude into the open countryside.

Paragraph 10, Refusal Reason 3 has been amended to read;

The proposal is contrary to paragraph 6.73 of the Strategic Planning Policy Statement and Policy CTY2a of Planning Policy Statement 21, New Dwellings in Existing Clusters in that the proposed site is not bounded on at least two sides with other development in the cluster and does not provide a suitable degree of enclosure and as a result it can not be absorbed into the existing cluster through rounding off and consolidation and the dwelling would if permitted significantly alter the existing character of the cluster and visually intrude into the open countryside.