

Erratum

LA01/2018/1315/O

Paragraph 10 Refusal Reason states;

The proposal is contrary to the Strategic Planning Policy Statement (SPPS) paragraphs 6.70 and 6.73 and Policies CTY 1 and CTY13 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside, in that; there are no overriding reasons why this development is essential in this rural location and could not be located within a settlement; the proposed sites lack long established natural boundaries and are unable to provide a suitable degree of enclosure for the buildings to integrate into the landscape and the proposed building relies primarily on the use of new landscaping for integration.

This is incorrect. Paragraph 10 Refusal Reason should read;

The proposal is contrary to the Strategic Planning Policy Statement (SPPS) paragraph 6.70 and Policies CTY 1 and CTY13 of Planning Policy Statement 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside, in that; the proposed sites lack long established natural boundaries and are unable to provide a suitable degree of enclosure for the buildings to integrate into the landscape and the proposed buildings rely primarily on the use of new landscaping for integration.