

Erratum

LA01/2020/0631/O

1.0 Update

- 1.1 Paragraph 1.1 of the Planning Committee report should read 'reasons' instead of 'conditions.'
- 1.2 Section 5 of the Planning Committee report outlines the internal consultations however the Environmental Health consultation has been omitted.
- 1.3 Section 5 should also include 'Environmental Health – No objection.'
- 1.4 Section 10 outlines the refusal reason which states:
 1. The proposal is contrary to Paragraph 6.73 of the Strategic Planning Policy Statement for Northern Ireland and Policy CTY 1 and 3 of PPS 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside, as the non-residential building which it is proposed to replace makes an important contribution to the heritage, appearance and character of the locality and no environmental benefits would be brought about by its redevelopment.
- 1.5 The refusal reason should read as follows:
 1. The proposal is contrary to Paragraph 6.73 of the Strategic Planning Policy Statement for Northern Ireland and Policy CTY 1 and 3 of PPS 21, Sustainable Development in the Countryside, as the non-residential building which it is proposed to replace makes an important contribution to the

heritage, appearance and character of the locality and no environmental benefits would be brought about by its redevelopment, and there are no overriding reasons why this development is essential.

2.0 Recommendation

- 2.1 That the Committee note the contents of this Erratum and agree with the recommendation to refuse the application in accordance with Paragraph 1.1 of the Planning Committee report.